SSION

000007444

BEFORE THE AI

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

CARL J. KUNASEK
Chairman
JAMES M. IRVIN
Commissioner
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
Commissioner

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

1999 NOV -4 P 3: 03

NOV 0 4 1999

IN THE MATTER OF U S WEST

COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S

COMPLIANCE WITH § 271 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996

DOCKSTED BDocket No. T-00000B-97-0238

AT&T AND TCG'S RESPONSE TO SUAFF'S MOTION FOR

CLARIFICATION

AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc. and TCG Phoenix (collectively, "AT&T") hereby respond to the Motion for Clarification of Commission Staff ("Motion").

The Staff of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Staff") "requests clarification that the Staff is to submit its Report *after* the OSS Workshop and the testing process is complete." Motion at 2 (emphasis added). AT&T respectfully disagrees. The Staff Report should be filed *before* the Master Test plan is implemented.

The bases of Staff's request is that Staff has scheduled additional workshops in an attempt to reach agreement on issues related to OSS testing and it "has only recently engaged a Third-Party Test Manager and Pseudo-CLEC to perform the necessary testing of U S WEST's OSS interfaces." Motion at 1. Although AT&T acknowledges that Staff has wisely added additional workshops and the third-party consultant and pseudo-CLEC were recently engaged, AT&T believes Staff's Motion ignores that the procedural orders have always reflected that the Report would be filed at the conclusion of the workshop process, not after completion of OSS testing.

On July 21, 1999, the Commission issued an Order that stated that Staff "shall schedule three workshops to be held over 90 days to facilitate a collaborative process to

determine OSS standards to satisfy the § 271 requirements." It was also ordered that the collaborative process "shall include third-party testing of OSS." Finally, the Staff was ordered to "file a Report no later than October 15, 1999, setting forth the OSS standards with which U S WEST must comply, the extent to which U S WEST does comply, and recommendations for necessary changes/modifications for U S WEST to comply with the § 271 requirements." To comply with the third-party testing requirement, Staff and its consultant, Doherty & Company, Inc., released a proposed Master Test Plan along with the Memorandum dated August 25, 1999, from David A. Motycka, Acting Assistant Director, Utilities Division, setting forth the purpose and agenda for the first workshop and the purposes of the two subsequent workshops.

On August 30, 1999, AT&T filed a Motion to Change the Dates for Workshops
Established by Staff. The matter was heard before the Hearing Officers on September 2,
1999, at which time Staff proposed an alternative to AT&T's proposal. The parties were
generally satisfied with Staff's alternate proposal. At the conclusion of this hearing, AT&T
advised the Hearing Officers that the latest Procedural Order required Staff to file its Report
on or before October 15, 1999; and, based on Staff's proposal, the date for the Report would
have to be extended to at least October 29, 1999. The Chief Hearing Officer asked Staff if it
wanted to change the date to October 29, 1999, and Staff answered affirmatively. A
Procedural Order was issued by the Hearing Officers on September 3, 1999, confirming the
substance of the hearing held September 2, 1999, and granting Staff's request to extend the
date for filing the Report from October 15, 1999 to October 29, 1999.

¹ US WEST Communications, Inc.'s Compliance with § 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Docket No. T-00000B-97-0238, Order, Decision No. 61837 (Az. Corp. Comm. July 21, 1999), at 5.

Staff's Proposed Master Test Plan was released prior to the September 2 hearing and provided that a third-party consultant and pseudo-CLEC would be retained.² The schedule in the Proposed Master Test Plan (at page 48) reflects that completion of the testing in late March or early April 2000. Staff was well aware of the dates in the Proposed Master Test Plan at the hearing on September 2, 1999. If Staff truly believed that the Report envisioned by the Commission was to be filed after OSS testing and not after the OSS workshops, Staff should have sought an extension from October 15, 1999 to mid-April 2000. AT&T reasonably concluded that Staff always contemplated filing a Report at the conclusion of the OSS workshops and prior to implementation of the Master Test Plan.

There is good reason for Staff to file its Report at the conclusion of the OSS workshops. Staff can advise the Commission on what transpired at the workshops, summarize the parties' positions, give its conclusions and submit a copy of the Staff's proposed, final Master Test Plan. During the course of the OSS workshops, parties submitted extensive comments on the proposed Master Test Plan. Not all of the parties' recommendations have been or will be adopted. The Staff should file its Report -- identifying the recommended changes to the Master Test Plan adopted by Staff -- *before* the parties expend considerable money, time and resources implementing the Plan. Parties should then be allowed to file comments on the Report and proposed Master Test Plan. It makes more sense for the parties to express their concerns to the Commission before, not after, the Master Test Plan is implemented.³ AT&T believes Staff would welcome final comments on the Master Test Plan from the parties before the testing is initiated.

-

² The recent engagement of the third-party consultant and pseudo-CLEC does not provide support for Staff's Motion. Although it is AT&T's understanding Staff retained the two vendors later than Staff had intended, the workshop process also has been extended considerably. Therefore, the engagement of the vendors alone does not provide a basis for changing the time for filing the Report to after the OSS testing is completed.

³ U S WEST has recently agreed to take over funding of Staff's consultant, Doherty & Company, Inc. AT&T has not raised any objections to this arrangement. However, AT&T has expressed its belief to Staff that such an

Under Staff's proposal, the Master Test Plan would be implemented and a report prepared after completion of testing. At that time, the Commission would receive comments about the deficiencies of the Master Test Plan and comments on the actual test results.

Delaying the Staff Report in this manner would be a mistake. The appropriate time to comment on the deficiencies of the Master Test Plan is prior to initiating the Master Test Plan.

AT&T has no objections to Staff filing another report at the conclusion of the OSS testing. However, it has always been AT&T's understanding, based on Staff's proposals and the procedural orders, that Staff would file its Report before implementing the Master Test Plan. AT&T firmly believes that a Report should be filed by Staff prior to implementing the Master Test Plan. Furthermore, the parties should be given an opportunity to comment on the Report and the Master Test Plan before OSS testing is commenced.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 4th day of November, 1999.

AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE MOUNTAIN STATES, INC. AND TCG PHOENIX

 $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{v}$

Thomas C. Pelto Mary B. Tribby Richard S. Wolters 1875 Lawrence Street

Suite 1575

Denver, Colorado 80202 Telephone: 303-298-6471 Facsimile: 303-298-6301 E-mail: rwolters@att.com

arrangement makes it all the more important that parties have an opportunity to comment on the Report and Master Test Plan before testing is initiated to avoid the appearance of any conflict.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the original and 10 copies of AT&T and TCG's Response to Staff's Motion for Clarification were filed this 4th day of November, 1999, with:

Arizona Corporation Commission Docket Control – Utilities Division 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

and that a copy of the foregoing was sent via United States Mail, postage prepaid, this 4th day of November, 1999 to the following:

David Motycka Arizona Corporation Commission Acting Assistant Director of Utilities 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Mark A. DiNunzio Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Timothy Berg Fennemore Craig, P.C. 3003 North Central Ave., #2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012

Thomas M. Dethlefs, Esq. U S WEST Communications, Inc. 1801 California Street, #5100 Denver, CO 80202

Thomas F. Dixon MCI Telecommunications Corporation 707 – 17th Street, #3900 Denver, CO 80202

Scott Wakefield Stephen Gibelli Residential Utility Consumer Office 2828 North Central Ave., #1200 Phoenix, AZ 85004 Maureen Scott Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission Director of Utilities 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Thomas H. Campbell 40 N. Central Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85004

Michael M. Grant, Esq. Gallagher and Kennedy 2600 North Central Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85004-3020

Lex J. Smith Michael W. Patten Brown & Bain, P.A. P. O. Box 400 2901 North Central Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85001-0400

Carrington Phillip Fox Communications, Inc. 1400 Lake Hearn Drive, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30319

Stephen H. Kukta Sprint Communications Company L.P. 8140 Ward Parkway 5E Kansas City, MO 64114

Daniel Waggoner Davis Wright Tremaine 2600 Century Square 1502 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101-1688

Karen Johnson Penny Bewick Electric Lightwave, Inc. 4400 NE 77th Ave Vancouver, WA 98662

Charles Kallenbach American Communications Services, Inc. 131 National Business Parkway Annapolis Junction, MD 20701

Mark Dioguardi, Esq. Tiffany and Bosco, P.A. 500 Dial Tower 1850 North Central Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85004

Joyce Hundley United States Dept. of Justice Antitrust Division 1401 H Street NW, Suite 8000 Washington, DC 20530

Alaine Miller NEXTLINK Communications, Inc. 500 108th Avenue NE, Suite 2200 Bellevue, WA 98004

Robert Munoz WorldCom, Inc. 225 Bush Street, Suite 1900 San Francisco, CA 94014 Richard M. Rindler Morton J. Posner Swidler & Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP 3000 K Street, N.W. – Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20007-5116

Bill Haas Richard Lipman McLeod USA 6400 C Street SW Cedar Rapids, IA 54206-3177

Richard Smith Director of Regulatory Affairs Cox Communications 2200 Powell Street, Suite 795 Emeryville, CA 94608

Kath Thomas Brooks Fiber Communications 1600 South Amphlett Blvd., #330 San Mateo, CA 94402

Raymond S. Heyman, Esq. Randall H. Warner, Esq. Roshka Heyman & DeWulf Two Arizona Center 400 N. Fifth Street, Suite 1000 Phoenix, AZ 85004

Thomas L. Mumaw, Esq. Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. One Arizona Center Phoenix, AZ 85004-0001

Diane Bacon, Legislative Director Communications Workers of America Arizona State Council District 7 AFL-CIO, CLC 5818 N. 7th Street, Suite 206 Phoenix, AZ 85014-5811

Douglas Hsiao Rhythms Links Inc. 6933 Revere Parkway Englewood, CO 80112

Jeffrey W. Crockett SNELL & WILMER One Arizona Center Phoenix, AZ 85004-0001

Barry Pineles GST TELECOM, INC. 4001 Main Street Vancouver, WA 98663

Lucon anell

Jim Scheltema Blumenfeld & Cohen 1625 Massachusetts Ave. N.W. Suite 300 Washington, DC 20036

Rex Knowles NEXTLINK Communications, Inc. 111 E. Broadway, Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, UT 84111